



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/381,243	01/21/2000	CHARLES R. HASKINS	237310-000022	3704
84310	7590	03/15/2010		
Troutman Sanders LLP The Chrysler Building 405 Lexington Avenue New York, NY 10174			EXAMINER SUBRAMANIAN, NARAYANSWAMY	
			ART UNIT 3695	PAPER NUMBER
			NOTIFICATION DATE 03/15/2010	DELIVERY MODE ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

Michelle.Ekanemesang@troutmansanders.com

Ellen.Walters@troutmansanders.com

patents@troutmansanders.com

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	09/381,243		HASKINS ET AL.	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	Narayanswamy Subramanian		3695	

All Participants:

(1) Narayanswamy Subramanian.

(2) (Kevin) Xin He (Reg. No. 51,794).

Date of Interview: 10 March 2010

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

112, second paragraph

Claims discussed:

9

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: RCE

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 11:00 AM

/Narayanswamy Subramanian/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3695

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner Subramanian discussed potential 112, second paragraph rejections in the supplemental amendment filed on March 8, 2010. The Examiner suggested amendments to the claim to overcome these rejections. Attorney He agreed to consider these suggestions and file a supplementary response after consulting the inventors.